arXiv:1408.2796v3 [hep-th] 12 Jul 2016

Causal Poisson bracket via deformation quantization

Jasel Berra-Montiel*[1], Alberto Molgado†[1,2] and César D. Palacios-García‡[3]

[1] *Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí Av. Salvador Nava S/N Zona Universitaria, CP 78290, San Luis Potosí, SLP, México*

[2] *Dual CP Institute of High Energy Physics, México*

[3] *Instituto de Física "Manuel Sandoval Vallarta", Universidad Autónoma de San Luis Potosí Álvaro Obregón 64, 78000 San Luis Potosí, SLP, México*

July 13, 2016

Abstract

Starting with the well-defined product of quantum fields at two spacetime points, we explore an associated Poisson structure for classical field theories within the deformation quantization formalism. We realize that the induced star-product is naturally related to the standard Moyal product through an appropriate causal Green's functions connecting points in the space of classical solutions to the equations of motion. Our results resemble the Peierls-DeWitt bracket analyzed in the multisymplectic context. Once our star-product is defined we are able to apply the Wigner-Weyl map in order to introduce a generalized version of Wick's theorem. Finally, we include some examples to explicitly test our method: the real scalar field, the bosonic string and a physically motivated nonlinear particle model. For the field theoretic models we have encountered causal generalizations of the creation/annihilation relations, and also a causal generalization of the Virasoro algebra for the bosonic string. For the nonlinear particle case, we use the approximate solution in terms of the Green's function in order to construct a well-behaved causal bracket.

*jberra@fc.uaslp.mx

†molgado@fc.uaslp.mx

‡cpalacios@dec1.ifisica.uaslp.mx

1 Introduction

Standard quantization procedures for field theories rely to some extent on a Poisson structure at the classical level. Even though a classical field theory may be completely understood at either the Lagrangian or the Hamiltonian formalisms and, in spite of the mathematical elegance of both approaches, a covariant Poisson formulation for classical fields has not been completely embraced by a vast community of physicists. As it may be suspected, this is at the very heart of most of the relevant physical systems, including all of the fundamental interactions within the standard model, gravitation and string theory, to mention some. In this way, one naturally starts by considering a covariant classical field theory for which one may apply certain standard rules in order to get a quantized version. However, this rules impose at some point a preferred foliation of spacetime in order to fulfill the quantization programme, thus apparently hiding the covariant character of a given field theory.

In this direction, the deformation quantization approach was introduced in [1] as an alternative procedure for standard quantization. The deformation quantization programme has shown to be a mathematical consistent tool for the understanding of quantum systems ranging form standard quantum mechanics to quantum aspects of general Lie algebraic structures. In this formalism, quantizing a classical system simply consists on a deformation of the corresponding algebraic structures such as the algebra of smooth functions defined on the classical phase space. For details, we refer the reader to the seminal papers [1, 2], and the reviews [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, and references therein] for a wide range of applications and recent developments.

Our major objective in this paper is to develop, within the deformation quantization formalism, a legitimate algebraic causal Poisson bracket for classical field theories. On the one hand, for linear theories, we adopt the familiar Kirchhoff representation [8, 9, 10, 11, 12] which states that given a field and its normal derivative at a given hypersurface we may find the value of the field at any causally connected point in the chronological future of the original point. This result is based on the construction of an appropriate Green's function and, in principle, holds even for curved spacetimes. On the other hand, even though the Kirchhoff representation is not valid for nonlinear theories, we may introduce a Green's function which gives us the approximate solution in a suitable region for which the causal Poisson bracket becomes meaningful [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. Thus our claim is that to the unambiguous well-defined product of two quantum field operators evaluated at different but causally connected spacetime points it is possible to assign a correspondent classical causal Poisson structure. Certainly, the Wigner function allows us to map both quantum field operators to operators evaluated at different points belonging to the same hypersurface by means of the Stratonovich-Weyl quantizer which admits not only continuous differentiable functions but also distributions. Further, the so-called correspondence principle indicates that the resulting star-product is interrelated to a well-defined classical causal Poisson bracket at two different spacetime points given in terms of the causal Green's function associated to the field equations

for both linear and nonlinear systems. The introduced bracket also reduces to the standard Poisson bracket whenever we consider the two spacetime points in the same spatial hypersurface, that is, in the equal-time limit of field theory.

This causal Poisson bracket results equivalent to the covariant Peierls-DeWitt bracket [18, 19, 20] as far as linear field theories are considered (see also [21] for an excellent review on this topic). Examples of these linear field theories are given by non-interacting theories, harmonic Lagrangians and self-adjoint functionals, examples which encompass a huge amount of physically interesting field theories [22, 23, 24, 25]. Nonetheless, whenever we consider nonlinear field theories our bracket diverges from the Peierls-DeWitt bracket, as the causal Poisson bracket introduced is only related to the first variation of the action, and thus do not depend on a linearized version of the field equations. In this way, the difference among the brackets may be clearer if we bear in mind that for the Peierls bracket the involved causal Green's functions turn out to be Jacobi fields, while for the causal bracket the causal Green's functions are not necessarily Jacobi fields. In this sense, for nonlinear field theories, the causal bracket is not compulsorily covariant but it preserves the causal structure in an approximate manner in a suitable region of interest. Similar causal Poisson bracket structures has been implemented in a variety of contexts, including the conformal field theoretical WZNW model, the causal algebras, the localization of particles in QFT, to mention some [26, 27, 28, 29, 30].

In the case of field theories with interactions, a perturbative approximation must be considered, as in standard quantum field theory. However, our developed star-product lead us to obtain a generalization of Wick's theorem for the product of field operators at different spacetime points. This generalization involves convenient contractions of the field operators with the causal Green's functions involved. Besides, we are able, by means of an isomorphism between star-products, to introduce a relation between our causal Green's function and Feynman's propagator, thus interpolating both approaches. These results resemble analogous developments found in deformation quantization from an algebraic quantum field theory perspective [31, 32, 33, 34].

Finally, we test the causal Poisson bracket formalism for some examples. Firstly, we analyze in detail the real scalar field. In particular, we find that the classical Poisson brackets may be extended to allow relations among the annihilation and creation coefficients at different spacetime hypersurfaces, generalizing the conventional relations at the equal-time limit analyzed in canonical quantization. Secondly, we also investigate the bosonic string. In this case, we also find a causal version of the Poisson brackets for the mode expansion coefficients, which in turn lead us to a generalized version of the Virasoro algebra at two different spatial hypersurfaces. Lastly, we also analyze a nonlinear example for which a causal Green's function may be introduced appropriately.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a brief review of deformation quantization in order to set the notational conventions used in the subsequent sections. In Section 3 we introduce the causal Poisson structure for field theory, and study its relevant properties. We test the causal Poisson bracket by developing in detail some specific examples in Section 4. We include some

concluding remarks in Section 5. Finally, we leave technical demonstrations of some mathematical properties of the causal bracket to A.

2 Deformation quantization for field theory

In classical mechanics, the phase space is given by a Poisson manifold $\mathcal{M}$, together with an antisymmetric Poisson tensor α^{ij}, which endows the commutative algebra of complex-valued smooth functions $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ with a Lie algebraic structure by means of the bracket $\{\cdot,\cdot\} : C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \times C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \to C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ explicitly given by

$$\{f, g\} = \alpha^{ij}\partial_i f \partial_j g, \tag{1}$$

which, besides skew-symmetry and bilinearity, satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$\{f, \{g, h\}\} = \{\{f, g\}, h\} + \{g, \{f, h\}\}\,, \tag{2}$$

and the compatibility Leibnizian condition

$$\{f, gh\} = \{f, g\}\, h + g\, \{f, h\}\ , \tag{3}$$

showing that the Poisson bracket is a derivation under both, the Poisson bracket itself and the standard commutative product of functions. Whenever the Poisson tensor α^{ij} is non-degenerate, the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is said to be a symplectic manifold. Non-degenerate Poisson tensors mainly comprises systems without local symmetries, although for gauge invariant systems a symplectic manifold may be constructed in the so-called reduced phase space. For symplectic manifolds, the Jacobi identity turns out to have an immediate geometrical meaning, since it is equivalent to the closedness of the 2-form

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}\omega_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j, \tag{4}$$

where ω_{ij} denotes the inverse matrix to α^{ij}.

A deformation quantization stands for an associative algebraic structure $\mathcal{A} := (A(\mathcal{M}), \star)$ on the space $A(\mathcal{M}) := C^\infty(\mathcal{M})[[\hbar]]$ of formal power series in a formal parameter $\hbar$ with respect to an associative product, the so-called star-product $\star$, satisfying for each $f,\ g \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$ the following properties

1. Locality property:

$$f \star g = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^k C_k(f, g)\,,$$

   where $C_k(f, g)$ are a sequence of bidifferential operators.

2. Deformation property: The star-product is a formal associative deformation of the classical commutative product, that, is

$$C_0(f, g) = fg\,.$$

3. Correspondence principle: The star-commutator allows us to define a formal deformation of the Poisson bracket

$$C_1(f,g) - C_1(g,f) = i\hbar\{f,g\}\,.$$

Besides, two star-products, $\star$ and $\star'$, are said to be equivalent if there is an isomorphism between the algebras $\mathcal{A} = (A, \star)$ and $\mathcal{A}' = (A, \star')$ given by a formal differential operator $T = \mathbb{I} + \sum_r \hbar^r T_r$, where $\mathbb{I}$ stands for the identity operator and each T_r is a differential operator which is null on constants, and such that the differential operator T follows

$$T(f \star' g) = (Tf) \star (Tg)\,. \tag{5}$$

This equivalence is related to the operator ordering ambiguity in ordinary quantum mechanics. In this way, defining a new star-product may be interpreted as a change in the ordering prescription in a quantum theory. Besides, this equivalence will be also relevant to understand the connection between our causal propagator and the Feynman propagator in standard quantum field theory, as we will see below.

In deformation quantization, the algebra of quantum observables turns out to be particularly simple, since it is made up by the set of real-valued functions on the phase space. In this manner there is no need of a Hilbert space as in the traditional operator approach, hence avoiding the more difficult problem about domains of unbounded operators. Furthermore, a very important point in deformation quantization comes from the existence of the Kontsevich theorem which provides a universal procedure to construct a well defined star-product starting with an arbitrary classical system, as it states that an arbitrary Poisson manifold admits a deformation quantization [35, 36, 37, 38].

Hereinafter, let us specialize our considerations so far to the case of an arbitrary field theory on four-dimensional Minkowski spacetime $\mathcal{M}$. We will follow as close as possible the notation in references [39, 40]. As customarily, we will denote the canonical variables as $\Phi^I(x)$ and $\Pi_I(x)$, where the index I stands for the set of internal indices, and depends on the nature of each field (and may be omitted when possible), and spacetime points $x = (x^0, x^i) \in \mathcal{M}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, standing for spatial indices. In deformation quantization, a common starting point will be to define either the Weyl map, or its inverse, the quasi-probabilistic Wigner function, both setting a relation between classical observables and quantum operators [41, 42]. We will thus start by constructing the Weyl map. Let $F[\Phi, \Pi]$ be an arbitrary functional defined on the phase space $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$ associated to $\mathcal{M}$. We define its Fourier transformation by

$$\tilde{F}[\lambda,\mu] = \int \mathcal{D}\Phi\mathcal{D}\Pi \exp\left\{-i\int dx\,(\lambda(x)\cdot\Phi(x) + \mu(x)\cdot\Pi(x))\right\} F[\Phi,\Pi]\,, \tag{6}$$

where the formal functional measures are given by $\mathcal{D}\Phi = \prod_x d\Phi(x)$, and $\mathcal{D}\Pi = \prod_x d\Pi(x)$, respectively, and the central dot stands for contraction on the appropriate indices. Thus, the Weyl map in phase space is given by the quantum

operator $\hat{F}$ associated to $F[\Phi,\Pi]$

$$\hat{F} := W\left(F[\Phi,\Pi]\right) = \int \mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi}\right)\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\mu}{2\pi}\right)\tilde{F}[\lambda,\mu]\hat{U}[\lambda,\mu]\,, \tag{7}$$

where $\hat{U}[\lambda,\mu]$ stands for the unitary operator

$$\hat{U}[\lambda,\mu] = \exp\left\{i\int dx\left(\lambda(x)\cdot\hat{\Phi}(x)+\mu(x)\cdot\hat{\Pi}(x)\right)\right\}\,, \tag{8}$$

being $\hat{\Phi}$ and $\hat{\Pi}$ field operators satisfying $\hat{\Phi}(x)\rangle\Phi(x) = \Phi(x)\rangle\Phi(x)$ and $\hat{\Pi}(x)\rangle\Pi(x) = \Pi(x)\rangle\Pi(x)$, respectively. As shown in [40], by employing the completeness relations $\int\mathcal{D}\Phi\rangle\Phi\langle\Phi = \hat{1}$ and $\int\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\Pi}{2\pi\hbar}\right)\rangle\Pi\langle\Pi = \hat{1}$, it is easy to check that the operator (8) obeys the two very important properties

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{U}[\lambda,\mu]\right) = \int\mathcal{D}\Phi\langle\Phi\hat{U}[\lambda,\mu]\rangle\Phi = \delta\left(\frac{\hbar\lambda}{2\pi}\right)\delta\left(\mu\right)\,, \tag{9}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{U}^{\dagger}[\lambda,\mu]\hat{U}[\lambda',\mu']\right) = \delta\left(\frac{\hbar}{2\pi}(\lambda-\lambda')\right)\delta(\mu-\mu')\,, \tag{10}$$

where the δ's stand for Dirac deltas. Relations (9) and (10) will be relevant in order to construct the quasi-probabilistic Wigner function, which assigns a classical observable to a given quantum operator. Before constructing the Wigner function we note that the Weyl quantization rule (7) may be written as

$$\hat{F} = W\left(F[\Phi,\Pi]\right) = \int\mathcal{D}\Phi\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\Pi}{2\pi\hbar}\right)F[\Phi,\Pi]\hat{\Omega}[\Phi,\Pi], \tag{11}$$

where the operator $\hat{\Omega}[\Phi,\Pi]$ denotes the standard Stratonovich-Weyl quantizer for quantum field theory

$$\hat{\Omega}[\Phi,\Pi] = \int\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\hbar\lambda}{2\pi}\right)\mathcal{D}\mu\exp\left\{-i\int dx\left(\lambda(x)\cdot\Phi(x)+\mu(x)\cdot\Pi(x)\right)\right\}\hat{U}[\lambda,\mu]. \tag{12}$$

Bearing in mind relations (9) and (10), it is straightforward to check that the Stratonovich-Weyl quantizer $\hat{\Omega}[\Phi,\Pi]$ satisfies the identities

$$\hat{\Omega}^{\dagger}[\Phi,\Pi] = \hat{\Omega}[\Phi,\Pi]\,, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{\Omega}[\Phi,\Pi]\right) = 1\,, \tag{14}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{\Omega}[\Phi,\Pi]\hat{\Omega}[\Phi',\Pi']\right) = \delta(\Phi-\Phi')\delta\left(\frac{\Pi-\Pi'}{2\pi\hbar}\right)\,. \tag{15}$$

In this notation, the Wigner function simply reads

$$F[\Phi,\Pi] = W^{-1}(\hat{F}) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{\Omega}[\Phi,\Pi]\hat{F}\right)\,. \tag{16}$$

The next step is to construct a star-product which encloses an specific ordering prescription, as discussed before. We will follow here the standard Weyl-Moyal ordering [34, 39]. In order to define the field theoretical Moyal star-product, let $F_1 = F_1[\Phi, \Pi]$ and $F_2 = F_2[\Phi, \Pi]$ be some functionals on the phase space $\Gamma(\mathcal{M})$, whose corresponding field operators, obtained through the Weyl map (11), are $\hat{F}_1 = W(F_1)$ and $\hat{F}_2 = W(F_2)$, respectively. Thus, the Moyal product is defined by means of the convolution relation

$$W(F_1 \star F_2) = W(F_1)W(F_2)\,, \tag{17}$$

setting the functional corresponding to the product of two field operators via the Wigner function (16) as

$$(F_1 \star F_2)[\Phi, \Pi] \;=\; W^{-1}(W(F_1)W(F_2)) = W^{-1}(\hat{F}_1\hat{F}_2) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\hat{\Omega}[\Phi, \Pi]\hat{F}_1\hat{F}_2\right), \tag{18}$$

which may be explicitly written in its integral representation as

$$\begin{aligned}(F_1 \star F_2)[\Phi, \Pi] \;=\; & \int \mathcal{D}\Phi'\mathcal{D}\Phi''\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\Pi'}{\pi\hbar}\right)\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\Pi''}{\pi\hbar}\right) F_1[\Phi', \Pi']F_2[\Phi'', \Pi''] \\ & \times \exp\left\{\frac{2i}{\hbar}\int dx\,((\Phi - \Phi')\cdot(\Pi - \Pi'') - (\Phi - \Phi'')\cdot(\Pi - \Pi'))\right\}.\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Finally, using the Taylor series expansion for the functionals F_1 and F_2 we obtain the well-known expression

$$(F_1 \star F_2) = F_1[\Phi, \Pi]\exp\left\{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}\right\}F_2[\Phi, \Pi], \tag{20}$$

where $\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{P}}$ stands for the bidirectional functional derivative operator

$$\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} = \int dx\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi(x)}\cdot\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Pi(x)} - \frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi(x)}\cdot\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Pi(x)}\right). \tag{21}$$

It is straightforward to prove that the Moyal star-product (20) follows properties (i) to (iii) stated before in this section.

3 Causal Poisson structure for field theory

Our main aim in this section will be to find a classical Poisson structure which corresponds to the product of two field operators evaluated at different space-time points $\hat{\Phi}(x_1)\hat{\Phi}(x_2)$, where $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{M}$. To start, let us consider a spacelike hypersurface Σ, and suppose that the values for a field $\Phi(x')$ satisfying the Lagrange equations of motion at a point $x' \in \mathcal{M}$, and its normal derivative

$n^{\alpha'}\nabla_{\alpha'}\Phi(x')$ are known on Σ, then the value of the scalar field at a different point $x \in \mathcal{M}$ lying on the future of the hypersurface Σ[1] is given by the formula

$$\Phi^I(x) = -\int_\Sigma \left(\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x')\nabla^{\alpha'}\Phi^J(x') - \Phi^J(x')\nabla^{\alpha'}\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x')\right) d\Sigma_{\alpha'}, \qquad (22)$$

where this formula may be interpreted as exact for linear systems and as a well-behaved approximation for nonlinear systems under appropriate boundary conditions [13, 14, 17]. Also, here $\Phi^I(x)$ and $\Phi^J(x')$ stands for the fields evaluated at two causally connected points $x, x' \in \mathcal{M}$, respectively, and $d\Sigma_{\alpha'}$ is the surface element defined on Σ. It follows that if $n_{\alpha'}$ is the future time-like unit normal, then $d\Sigma_{\alpha'} = n_{\alpha'}dS$, with dS representing the invariant volume element definded on Σ. Finally in (22), the causal Green's function $\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x')$ is given by

$$\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x') := G^{+IJ}(x,x') - G^{-IJ}(x,x')\,, \qquad (23)$$

where $G^{+IJ}(x,x')$ and $G^{-IJ}(x,x')$ denote the advanced and retarded Green's function associated to the Euler-Lagrange operator, respectively. The causal Green's function $\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x')$ and its complex conjugate $(\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x'))^*$ follow the symmetry relations

$$\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x') = -\tilde{G}^{JI}(x',x)\,, \qquad (24)$$

$$(\tilde{G}^{IJ})^*(x,x') = \tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x')\,. \qquad (25)$$

These relations may be checked straightforwardly as a consequence of the reciprocity conditions of the advanced and retarded Green's function

$$G^{\pm IJ}(x,x') = G^{\mp JI}(x',x)\,, \qquad (26)$$

as discussed in [20]. At this point, it is important to mention that for a field theory on a flat spacetime the causal properties of the advanced (retarded) Green's functions only has support on the past (future) light cone of a given point x', while the situation is subtler for the case of curved spacetimes. Indeed, for a field theory on a curved spacetime, its support is extended to consider also the interior points of the light cone due to the fact that in curved spacetime waves propagate at all speeds equal or smaller than the maximum speed as a result of the effect caused by the interaction between the fields and the curvature of the spacetime. This has as a consequence a non-regular and non-necessarily skew-symmetric causal Green's function. Nevertheless, a new two-point function may be added to the causal Green's function in order to obtain a regular, skew-symmetric causal propagator [8, 43]. In this work, we will thus consider flat spacetimes, and in this manner we consider the advanced (retarded) Green's

[1]For simplicity, and without loss of generality, we will consider the point x lying in the interior of the future light cone of the point x'. This consideration, however is not fundamental, as the causal Green's function considered completely determines the causal structure of the theory.

function is therefore non-vanishing whenever $x \in \mathcal{M}$ belongs to the chronological past (future) of $x' \in \mathcal{M}$.

For convenience, from now on we will adopt numerical subindex notation for causally connected spacetime points, that is, we will denote $x_k \in \Sigma_k,\ k \in \mathbb{N}^+$, where Σ_k stands for the temporal hypersurface labeled by the time $t = t_k$. By using the deformation quantization approach as stated in the last section, the formula (22), and taking the normal derivative $n^{\alpha'}\nabla_{\alpha'}\Phi^I(x')$ as a time-like directed derivative $\partial\Phi^I(x')/\partial x'^0$, we obtain through the Weyl quantization rule (11) the product of two field operators defined at two causally connected spacetime points $x_1 \in \Sigma_1$ and $x_2 \in \Sigma_2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\Phi}^I(x_1)\hat{\Phi}^J(x_2) = W\left[\Phi^I(x_1)\right] W\left[\Phi^J(x_2)\right] \\ = \quad & W\left[-\int_{\Sigma_2}\left(\tilde{G}^{IK}(x_1,x_2')\frac{\partial\Phi^K(x_2')}{\partial x_2^0} - \Phi^K(x_2')\frac{\partial\tilde{G}^{IK}(x_1,x_2')}{\partial x_2^0}\right)d\Sigma_2\right] W\left[\Phi^J(x_2)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

where x_2' also belongs to the hypersurface Σ_2. In this way, formula (27) relates field operators at two different hypersurfaces, Σ_1 and Σ_2, by representing the operator at hypersurface Σ_1 by a corresponding operator defined at the hypersurface Σ_2 through the causal Green's function. In order to use the Moyal product (18), in our case we consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 \quad &:= \quad -\int_{\Sigma_2}\left(\tilde{G}^{IK}(x_1,x_2')\frac{\partial\Phi^K(x_2')}{\partial x_2^0} - \Phi^K(x_2')\frac{\partial\tilde{G}^{IK}(x_1,x_2')}{\partial x_2^0}\right)d\Sigma_2\,, \\ F_2 \quad &:= \quad \Phi^J(x_2)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

By means of the properties of the Wigner function (18) the star-product reads

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi^I(x_1)\star\Phi^J(x_2) = W^{-1}\left[W(\Phi^I(x_1))W(\Phi^J(x_2))\right] = W^{-1}\left[W(F_1)W(F_2)\right] \\ = \quad & \mathrm{Tr}\left\{\int \mathcal{D}\Phi'\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\Pi'}{2\pi\hbar}\right)\mathcal{D}\Phi''\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\Pi''}{2\pi\hbar}\right)\hat{\Omega}(\Phi,\Pi)\hat{\Omega}(\Phi',\Pi')\hat{\Omega}(\Phi'',\Pi'')\right. \\ & \left.\times\left[-\int_{\Sigma_2}\left(\tilde{G}^{IK}(x_1,x_2')\frac{\partial\Phi'^K(x_2')}{\partial x_2^0} - \Phi'^K(x_2')\frac{\partial\tilde{G}^{IK}(x_1,x_2')}{\partial x_2^0}\right)d\Sigma_2\right]\Phi''^J(x_2)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

Here the Ω's stand for the Stratonovich-Weyl quantizer (12), one resulting directly from the definition of the star-product (18), and the other two coming from the Weyl quantization rule (11). As discussed in [40], considering the trace properties of the Stratonovich-Weyl quantizer (13)-(15) we may write the

star-product in its integral representation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Phi^I(x_1)\star\Phi^J(x_2)\\
=\;&\int\mathcal{D}\Phi'\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\Pi'}{2\pi\hbar}\right)\mathcal{D}\Phi''\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{\Pi''}{2\pi\hbar}\right)\\
&\times\left[-\int_{\Sigma_2}\left(\tilde{G}^{IK}(x_1,x_2')\frac{\partial\Phi'^K(x_2')}{\partial x_2^0}-\Phi'^K(x_2')\frac{\partial\tilde{G}^{IK}(x_1,x_2')}{\partial x_2^0}\right)d\Sigma_2\right]\Phi''^J(x_2)\\
&\times\exp\left\{\frac{2i}{\hbar}\int dx\,((\Phi-\Phi')\cdot(\Pi-\Pi'')-(\Phi-\Phi'')\cdot(\Pi-\Pi'))\right\}
\end{aligned}
\tag{30}
$$

We must emphasize that we have used the representation (22) to map both field operators to operators defined at different points in the same hypersurface for a fixed time parameter, that is, $x_2, x_2' \in \Sigma_2$. Bearing in mind this, from now on, we will avoid the primes for points in the same hypersurface ($x_2, x_2' \in \Sigma_2$ before), and thus, making a small abuse of language, we will refer to points in the same hypersurface with the same symbol. Finally, Taylor expanding (30) with respect to the field variables we see that, after tedious but straightforward calculations, expression (29) is reduced to

$$
\Phi^I(x_1)\star\Phi^J(x_2)=\Phi^I(x_1)\Phi^J(x_2)+\frac{i\hbar}{2}\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x_1,x_2)\,. \tag{31}
$$

This result encompass the general behaviour of the Moyal product, and may be used to define the star-commutator

$$
\left[\Phi^I(x_1),\Phi^J(x_2)\right]:=\Phi^I(x_1)\star\Phi^J(x_2)-\Phi^J(x_2)\star\Phi^I(x_1)\,, \tag{32}
$$

which in our case simply reduces to

$$
\left[\Phi^I(x_1),\Phi^J(x_2)\right]=i\hbar\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x_1,x_2)\,. \tag{33}
$$

Of course, the star-commutator (33) must follow the deformation quantization axioms (i) to (iii) of Section 2. Properties (i) and (ii) are directly satisfied. However, property (iii), the so-called correspondence principle, indicates that this star-commutator is interrelated to a classical Poisson structure at two different spacetime points given by

$$
\left(\Phi^I(x_1),\Phi^J(x_2)\right)=\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x_1,x_2)\,. \tag{34}
$$

Here we used round brackets instead of curly brackets in order to make a distinction from the standard Poisson bracket. It is important to mention that our classical functional bracket (34) results, by construction, consistent with the product of two field operators at different spacetime points. Further, in analogy with the calculation above, it is straightforward to generalize our classical functional bracket to arbitrary functionals $F_1(\Phi(x_1))$, $F_2(\Phi(x_2))$ of the fields variables at two causally connected points $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ by the relation

$$
F_1[\Phi(x_1)]\star F_2[\Phi(x_2)]=F_1[\Phi(x_1)]\exp\left\{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\overleftrightarrow{\mathcal{K}}\right\}F_2[\Phi(x_2)]\,, \tag{35}
$$

where the bidifferential operator $\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathcal{K}}$ is explicitly given by

$$\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\mathcal{K}} := \exp\left\{\frac{i\hbar}{2}\int dxdx'\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi^M(x)}\tilde{G}^{MN}(x,x')\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi^N(x')}\right)\right\}. \tag{36}$$

Once again, by the correspondence principle (iii), this star-product leads to a well-defined Poisson structure given by

$$(F_1[\Phi(x_1)], F_1[\Phi(x_2)]) := \int_{\mathcal{M}} dxdx' \frac{\delta F_1[\Phi(x_1)]}{\delta\Phi^M(x)}\tilde{G}^{MN}(x,x')\frac{\delta F_2[\Phi(x_2)]}{\delta\Phi^N(x')}, \tag{37}$$

which, as it is expected, turns out to be skew-symmetric, bilinear and obey both, the Jacobi identity (2) and the Leibniz condition (3). Bearing in mind (22), we must emphasize the different manners in which the bracket (37) must be understood: for linear systems the Green's function gives us an integral representation of the solution while for nonlinear systems the associated Green's function approximately characterizes solutions only in an appropriate domain and depends on specific boundary conditions inherent to a given system[2]. The fact that the functional bracket (37) is indeed a causal Poisson structure following these properties is shown in A. It should also be noted that the causal Poisson bracket (37) may be extended naturally to include gradients of the fields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(\partial_\mu\Phi^I(x), \Phi^J(x')\right) &= \partial_\mu\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x'), \\
\left(\Phi^I(x), \partial_{\mu'}\Phi^J(x')\right) &= \partial_{\mu'}\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x'), \\
\left(\partial_\mu\Phi^I(x), \partial_{\nu'}\Phi^J(x')\right) &= \partial^2_{\mu\nu'}\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x').
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

which, in turn, by considering the standard momentum definition may be extended to the phase space associated to the fields Φ, that we will denote as $\Gamma(\Phi,\Pi)$. Besides, when $\Phi^I(x)$ and $\Phi^J(x')$ are defined in the same hypersurface Σ, that is, whenever x^0 tends to x'^0, we recover the standard field theoretic Poisson structure. We may deduce this directly from the discontinuity properties of the causal Green's function $\tilde{G}^{IJ}$, since this function and its derivatives follow the limits

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{x^0\to x'^0}\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x') &= 0, \\
\lim_{x^0\to x'^0}\partial_{x^0}\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x') &= \delta^3(\vec{x},\vec{x}'), \\
\lim_{x^0\to x'^0}\partial^2_{x^0x'^0}\tilde{G}^{IJ}(x,x') &= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

The first limit holds from to the definition of the causal Green's function in terms of the advanced and retarded Green's functions. The second limit simply states the discontinuity of the causal Green's function. Finally, the third limit

[2]The method to solve nonlinear differential equations by means of Green's functions may be shown to be equivalent to a small expansion in the spacetime parameters, even though for some cases we need to consider slow convergence as demonstrated in [13, 14]

holds since the second derivative of both G^{+IJ} and G^{-IJ} are proportional to a Dirac delta distribution.

For the case of theories involving interacting fields we are confined to a perturbative framework, then we are interested in the star product of n fields, where due to the properties of the causal Poisson bracket, and the combinatorics of all contractions, this product becomes into a generalized version of the Wick's theorem

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Phi^{I_1}(x_1) \star \Phi^{I_2}(x_2) \star \cdots \star \Phi^{I_n}(x_n) \\
= \; & \Phi^{I_1}(x_1)\Phi^{I_2}(x_2)\cdots\Phi^{I_n}(x_n) \\
& + \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right) \sum_{\substack{\texttt{single} \\ \texttt{pairs}}} \left[\tilde{G}^{I_i I_j}(x_i,x_j)\Phi^{I_1}(x_1)\cdots\widehat{\Phi^{I_i}(x_i)}\cdots\widehat{\Phi^{I_j}(x_j)}\cdots\Phi^{I_n}(x_n)\right] \\
& + \left(\frac{i\hbar}{2}\right)^2 \sum_{\substack{\texttt{double} \\ \texttt{pairs}}} \Big[\tilde{G}^{I_i I_j}(x_i,x_j)G^{I_k I_l}(x_k,x_l)\Phi^{I_1}(x_1)\cdots\widehat{\Phi^{I_i}(x_i)}\cdots\widehat{\Phi^{I_j}(x_j)}\cdots \\
& \cdots\widehat{\Phi^{I_k}(x_k)}\cdots\widehat{\Phi^{I_l}(x_l)}\cdots\Phi^{I_n}(x_n)\Big] + \cdots
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

where $\widehat{\Phi^{I_i}(x_i)}$ denotes that the field $\Phi^{I_i}(x_i)$ has been removed from the summation. The first sum runs over single contractions of pairs, while the second sum runs over double contractions, and so on. If n is even, the product ends with terms only consisting of products of casual Green's functions. By making use of the equivalence of star products stated by means of isomorphisms between star algebras in (5), it is possible to write the time ordered product of quantum field operators through the normal ordering map Θ_N [39]. This normal ordering map sends any functional F defined on the phase space to the associated normal ordering operator $\Theta_N[F]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
T\left\{\hat{\Phi}(x_1)\cdots\hat{\Phi}(x_n)\right\} \; = \; & \Theta_N\left\{\exp\left[\frac{i\hbar}{2}\int dxdx'\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi^M(x)}G_F^{MN}(x,x')\frac{\overrightarrow{\delta}}{\delta\Phi^N(x')}\right)\right]\right. \\
& \left.\times\prod_{p=1}^{n}\Phi^{I_p}(x_p)\right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

Here, $G_F^{MN}(x,x')$ stands for the Feynman propagator. We can observe that the time ordered product T do not correspond to the Weyl transform of a causal star-product since, by definition, the time ordered product is fully symmetric in its arguments while the causal star-product have skew-symmetry properties inherited from the construction of the causal Green's function. Further, we see that the causal and Feynman Green's functions may be constructed in terms of different combination of primitive Green's functions as

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{G} &= G^+ - G^- = G^{(+)} + G^{(-)}, \\
G_F &= G^- + G^{(-)} = G^+ - G^{(+)},
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

where G^+ and G^- are the advanced and retarded Green's functions, whereas $G^{(+)}$ and $G^{(-)}$ correspond to the positive and negative frequency propagators [20]. By the preceding arguments, Wick's theorem could also be written as a generating function

$$T\left\{\exp\left[\frac{i}{\hbar}\int d^4x\, J(x)\hat{\Phi}(x)\right]\right\} = \Theta_N\left\{\exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar}\right)\int d^4x J(x)\hat{\Phi}(x)\right\} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\hbar^2}\int d^4x d^4x'\, J(x)G_F(x,x')J(x')\right], \tag{43}$$

where $J(x)$ denotes an external source [33]. Expanding equation (43) in powers of J, we note that this term corresponds to the perturbation expansion of the scattering operator in quantum field theory, which has been derived entirely under the deformation quantization framework.

4 Examples

In this section we put our previously obtained results at work by exploring some specific physically motivated examples .

4.1 Real scalar field

We will work on Minkowski spacetime $\mathcal{M}$. The action for a real scalar field $\phi : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ reads

$$S_{\mathrm{KG}}[\phi] = -\int_{\mathcal{M}} d^4x\, \frac{1}{2}\left[(\partial^\mu\phi)\,(\partial_\mu\phi) - m^2\phi^2\right], \tag{44}$$

where $\mu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ denote spacetime indices, and m is a constant mass term. Motion of the field is given by the well-known Klein-Gordon equation

$$\left(\partial_\mu\partial^\mu + m^2\right)\phi = 0 \tag{45}$$

for which we may associate the usual advanced and retarded Green's functions

$$G^+(x,y) = \frac{-i}{(2\pi)^3}\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2\omega(\mathbf{k})} e^{i\left(\omega(\mathbf{k})(x^0-y^0)-\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right)}, \tag{46}$$

$$G^-(x,y) = \frac{-i}{(2\pi)^3}\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{2\omega(\mathbf{k})} e^{i\left(-\omega(\mathbf{k})(x^0-y^0)-\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})\right)}, \tag{47}$$

respectively. Here we have written $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = \pm\sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2+m^2}$. Given two spacetime points $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$, by relation (23) we construct the causal Green's function $\tilde{G}(x,y)$ as

$$\tilde{G}(x,y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{\omega(\mathbf{k})} \sin\left[\omega(\mathbf{k})(x^0-y^0)\right] e^{-i\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y})}. \tag{48}$$

It may be easily shown that this causal Green's function follows the equal time limits

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{x^0\to y^0} \tilde{G}(x,y) &= 0\,, \\
\lim_{x^0\to y^0} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}(x,y)}{\partial x^0} &= \delta(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}) = -\lim_{x^0\to y^0} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}(x,y)}{\partial y^0}\,, \\
\lim_{x^0\to y^0} \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{G}(x,y)}{\partial x^0 \partial y^0} &= 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

which are relevant in our formulation in order to recover in this limit the standard Poisson bracket for the field $\phi(x)$ and its conjugate momentum $\pi(x) := (\partial\mathcal{L}/\partial\dot{\phi})(x) = \dot{\phi}(x)$, where the dot means derivative with respect to the x_0 parameter. Thus, the causal Green's function $\tilde{G}(x,y)$ is used to establish the integral representation

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi(x) &= -\int_\Sigma \left(\tilde{G}(x,y)\pi(y) - \phi(y)\frac{\partial \tilde{G}(x,y)}{\partial y^0} \right) d^3y \\
\pi(x) &= -\int_\Sigma \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{G}(x,y)}{\partial x^0}\pi(y) - \phi(y)\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{G}(x,y)}{\partial x^0 \partial y^0} \right) d^3y,
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

where integrals are taken over a given hypersurface Σ. From this representation, and by considering the causal Poisson brackets introduced in (37), we find the elementary causal brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
(\phi(x),\phi(y)) &= \tilde{G}(x,y)\,, \\
(\phi(x),\pi(y)) &= \frac{\partial \tilde{G}(x,y)}{\partial x^0}\,, \\
(\pi(x),\phi(y)) &= \frac{\partial \tilde{G}(x,y)}{\partial y^0}\,, \\
(\pi(x),\pi(y)) &= \frac{\partial^2 \tilde{G}(x,y)}{\partial x^0 \partial y^0}\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

As stated before, by considering the limits (49) we see that these causal brackets are simplified to the standard equal-time classical Poisson brackets at two different spatial points on a given hypersurface Σ.

Next, the real scalar field, $\phi(x)$, and its conjugate momentum, $\pi(x)$, may be written in terms of the annihilation and creation coefficients, $a(\mathbf{k},x^0)$ and $a^*(\mathbf{k},x^0)$, respectively, as

$$\phi(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^3\mathbf{k} \left(\frac{\hbar}{2\omega(\mathbf{k})}\right)^{1/2} \left(a(\mathbf{k},x^0)e^{i\mathbf{kx}} + a^*(\mathbf{k},x^0)e^{-i\mathbf{kx}} \right)\,, \tag{52}$$

$$\pi(x) = \frac{i}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^3\mathbf{k} \left(\frac{\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k})}{2}\right)^{1/2} \left(-a(\mathbf{k},x^0)e^{i\mathbf{kx}} + a^*(\mathbf{k},x^0)e^{-i\mathbf{kx}} \right)\,, \tag{53}$$

where $a(\mathbf{k},x^0) := a(\mathbf{k})e^{-i\omega(\mathbf{k})x^0}$. As usual, relations (52) and (53) may be inverted in order to find the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} a(\mathbf{k},x^0) &= \frac{1}{(2\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k}))^{1/2}}\int d^3\mathbf{x}e^{-i\mathbf{kx}}\left(\omega(\mathbf{k})\phi(x)+i\pi(x)\right)\,, \\ a^*(\mathbf{k},x^0) &= \frac{1}{(2\hbar\omega(\mathbf{k}))^{1/2}}\int d^3\mathbf{x}e^{i\mathbf{kx}}\left(\omega(\mathbf{k})\phi(x)-i\pi(x)\right)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

By repeatedly applying the causal bracket relations (51) we may obtain the classical commutation rules

$$(a(\mathbf{k},x^0),a^*(\mathbf{k}',y^0)) = \frac{i\left(\omega(\mathbf{k})+\omega(\mathbf{k}')\right)}{\left(4\omega(\mathbf{k})\omega(\mathbf{k}')\right)^{1/2}\hbar}e^{i\omega(\mathbf{k})(x^0-y^0)}\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\,, \tag{55}$$

$$(a(\mathbf{k},x^0),a(\mathbf{k}',y^0)) = -\frac{i\left(\omega(\mathbf{k})-\omega(\mathbf{k}')\right)}{\left(4\omega(\mathbf{k})\omega(\mathbf{k}')\right)^{1/2}\hbar}e^{i\omega(\mathbf{k})(x^0-y^0)}\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\,, \tag{56}$$

$$(a^*(\mathbf{k},x^0),a^*(\mathbf{k}',y^0)) = \frac{i\left(\omega(\mathbf{k})-\omega(\mathbf{k}')\right)}{\left(4\omega(\mathbf{k})\omega(\mathbf{k}')\right)^{1/2}\hbar}e^{-i\omega(\mathbf{k})(x^0-y^0)}\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')\,, \tag{57}$$

where we have substituted the causal Green's function (48) and its derivatives, and we have explicitly performed the involved integrals. From these classical commutators we note that, due to the $\omega(\mathbf{k})-\omega(\mathbf{k}')$ factor and to the Dirac delta $\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')$, the last two brackets are vanishing in a distributional sense, indicating the non-interacting nature of the annihilation and creation coefficients at different times. This may be interpreted as a manifestation of energy conservation at two different spatial hypersurfaces. Also, the first classical commutation rule (55) generalizes the standard Poisson bracket allowing annihilation and creation coefficients at different spatial hypersurfaces. Clearly, these classical commutation rules reduce to the standard Poisson bracket at the equal-time limit.

This example may be also relevant for the analysis of the free electromagnetic field given by the action

$$S_{\mathrm{EM}}[A] := -\frac{1}{4}\int d^4x\ F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} \tag{58}$$

where the electromagnetic field $F_{\mu\nu}$ may be written in a common way in terms of the potential vector field $A(x)$ by the relation $F_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ ($\mu,\nu = 0,1,2,3$). Indeed, by fixing the radiation gauge $A^0 = 0$, for example, the field equations for the spatial components reduce to $\partial_\mu\partial^\mu A^i = 0$ which may be thought of as a non-massive Klein-Gordon equation for each of the spatial components A^i. In this sense, the electromagnetic case may be interpreted as three independent real scalar fields, as formulated in [40], and thus we may, in principle, extrapolate the results obtained here to analyze the electromagnetic field.

4.2 Bosonic string theory

As it is well known, the relativistic boson string may be described by the Nambu-Goto action. Variation of this action leads to non-linear equations of motion

for the string due to the complexity of the momenta involved. In order to avoid this issues, we will then start with the classically equivalent Polyakov action [44, 45, 46]

$$S_{\rm P}[X] = -\frac{1}{4\pi\alpha'}\int_{\Sigma} d\tau d\sigma (-\gamma)^{1/2}\gamma^{ab}\partial_a X^\mu \partial_b X^\nu \eta_{\mu\nu}\,. \tag{59}$$

Here the world-sheet Σ swept out by the string is parametrized by (σ,τ), and X^μ is the embedding of the world-sheet Σ into the spacetime manifold $\mathcal{M}$ endowed with a metric $\gamma^{ab}(\sigma,\tau)$ ($\gamma = \det(\gamma_{ab})$). Finally, α' is a parameter associated to the string scale squared, and may be commonly thought of as proportional to the inverse of the string tension. Equations of motion for the Polyakov action may be substantially reduced if one considers the choice $\gamma_{ab} = \eta_{ab}e^{\phi}$, where η is a two-dimensional Minkowski metric and e^{ϕ} is the conformal factor for the spacetime function ϕ. In this way, the equations of motion are simply given by

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial\sigma^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial\tau^2}\right) X^\mu = 0\,, \tag{60}$$

that is, the two-dimensional wave equation.

In order to construct the causal Green's function, $\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')$, we need first to impose appropriate boundary conditions: For an open string the total derivative term $\partial_\nu X^\mu$ fix the boundary contributions, while periodicity conditions on the parameter σ must also be considered for a closed string. In this sense, we will consider boundary conditions $X'^\mu(\tau,0) = 0 = X'^\mu(\tau,\pi)$ for the open string, $X'^\mu(\tau,-\infty) = 0 = X'^\mu(\tau,\infty)$ for the infinitely open string, and $X^\mu(\tau,0) = X^\mu(\tau,\pi)$, $X'^\mu(\tau,0) = X'^\mu(\tau,\pi)$ together with $\gamma^{ab}(\tau,0) = \gamma^{ab}(\tau,\pi)$, for the closed string, respectively. Here $X'^\mu(\sigma,\tau)$ denotes derivative with respect to the parameter σ. Thus, depending on these boundary conditions, we may find in a complete standard manner the causal Green's functions

$$\tilde{G}_{\rm open}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau') = \sum_n \frac{1}{2n}\sin 2n(\tau-\tau')\cos 2n(\sigma-\sigma')\,, \tag{61}$$

$$\tilde{G}_{\infty}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau') = \theta[(\tau-\tau')-(\sigma-\sigma')]\,, \tag{62}$$

$$\tilde{G}_{\rm closed}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau') = \sum_n \frac{1}{2n}\sin 2n(\tau-\tau')\cos 2n\sigma\cos 2n\sigma'\,, \tag{63}$$

for the open, the infinitely open, and closed strings, respectively [47]. In (62), the θ stands for the Heaviside step-function. It is easy to see that for the three cases (61)-(63), the corresponding causal Green's functions follow the limits

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\tau\to\tau'} \tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau') &= 0\,,\\
\lim_{\tau\to\tau'} \frac{\partial\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')}{\partial\tau} &= \delta(\sigma-\sigma')\,,\\
\lim_{\tau\to\tau'} \frac{\partial\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')}{\partial\tau'} &= -\delta(\sigma-\sigma')\,,\\
\lim_{\tau\to\tau'} \frac{\partial^2\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')}{\partial\tau\partial\tau'} &= 0\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

which are very important in order to guarantee the standard Poisson bracket limit, as stated in Section 3.

By considering the associated momenta to the fields X^μ, $\Pi^\mu := \partial\mathcal{L}/\partial(\dot{X}^\mu) = (2\pi\alpha')^{-1}\dot{X}^\mu$, where the dot means derivative with respect to the parameter τ, and by using the integral representation (22) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} X^\mu(\sigma,\tau) &= -\int d\sigma' \left(2\pi\alpha'\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')\Pi^\mu(\sigma',\tau') \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\frac{\partial\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')}{\partial\tau'}X^\mu(\sigma',\tau')\right) \\ \Pi^\mu(\sigma,\tau) &= -\frac{1}{2\pi\alpha'}\int d\sigma' \left(2\pi\alpha'\frac{\partial\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')}{\partial\tau}\Pi^\mu(\sigma',\tau') \right. \\ &\quad \left. -\frac{\partial^2\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')}{\partial\tau\partial\tau'}X^\mu(\sigma',\tau')\right), \end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

for any of the causal Green's functions (61)-(63). Thus, for these variables we construct the fundamental causal brackets

$$\begin{aligned} (X^\mu(\sigma,\tau),X^\nu(\sigma',\tau')) &= 2\pi\alpha'\eta^{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau'), \\ (X^\mu(\sigma,\tau),\Pi^\nu(\sigma',\tau')) &= \eta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')}{\partial\tau}, \\ (\Pi^\mu(\sigma,\tau),X^\nu(\sigma',\tau')) &= \eta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')}{\partial\tau'}, \\ (\Pi^\mu(\sigma,\tau),\Pi^\nu(\sigma',\tau')) &= \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{2\pi\alpha'}\frac{\partial^2\tilde{G}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')}{\partial\tau\partial\tau'}. \end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

As discussed before, these brackets reduce in the equal-time limit to the standard Poisson brackets. Furthermore, the general solution to the wave equation (60) is given by $X^\mu(\sigma,\tau) = X^\mu_L(\tau+\sigma)+X^\mu_R(\tau-\sigma)$, for which we may write explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} X^\mu_L(\tau+\sigma) &= \frac{1}{2}x^\mu + \alpha'\pi^\mu(\tau+\sigma) + i\left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{1/2}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1}{n}\tilde{\alpha}^\mu_n e^{-2in(\tau+\sigma)}, \\ X^\mu_R(\tau-\sigma) &= \frac{1}{2}x^\mu + \alpha'\pi^\mu(\tau-\sigma) + i\left(\frac{\alpha'}{2}\right)^{1/2}\sum_{n\neq 0}\frac{1}{n}\alpha^\mu_n e^{-2in(\tau-\sigma)}, \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

that is, we may Fourier expand in terms of left or right moving oscillation modes, respectively.[3] Here the coefficients x^μ and π^μ correspond to the $n=0$ expansion terms, and are defined in terms of X^μ and Π^μ by the relations

$$\begin{aligned} x^\mu &:= \frac{1}{2\pi}\int X^\mu(\sigma,0)d\sigma, \\ \pi^\mu &:= \int \Pi^\mu(\sigma,0)d\sigma. \end{aligned} \tag{68}$$

[3]This solution stands for the open string. For the closed string we may also consider the relations $\alpha^\mu_n = \tilde{\alpha}^\mu_n$ in order to preserve the appropriate boundary conditions.

Also, in a standard manner we find the coefficients $\alpha_n^\mu(\tau) := e^{-2in\tau}\alpha_n^\mu$ and $\tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu(\tau) := e^{2in\tau}\tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu$ in terms of X^μ and Π^μ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_n^\mu(\tau) &= \left(\frac{2}{\alpha'}\right)^{1/2}\int\left(-\frac{in}{2\pi}X^\mu(\sigma,\tau)+\frac{\alpha'}{2}\Pi^\mu(\sigma,\tau)\right)e^{-2in\sigma}d\sigma \\
\tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu(\tau) &= \left(\frac{2}{\alpha'}\right)^{1/2}\int\left(-\frac{in}{2\pi}X^\mu(\sigma,\tau)+\frac{\alpha'}{2}\Pi^\mu(\sigma,\tau)\right)e^{2in\sigma}d\sigma\,. \qquad (69)
\end{aligned}$$

As it is expected, coefficients α_n^μ and $\tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu$ are related to the creation and annihilation coefficients. Using the causal Green's function for an open string (61), and by repeated application of brackets (66), we are able to evaluate the causal brackets for these coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
(\alpha_n^\mu(\tau),\alpha_m^\nu(\tau')) &= -im\delta_{n+m}e^{-2in(\tau-\tau')}\eta^{\mu\nu}\,, \\
(\tilde{\alpha}_n^\mu(\tau),\tilde{\alpha}_m^\nu(\tau')) &= -im\delta_{n+m}e^{-2in(\tau-\tau')}\eta^{\mu\nu}\,, \\
(\alpha_n^\mu(\tau),\tilde{\alpha}_m^\nu(\tau')) &= m\sin 2n(\tau-\tau')\delta_{nm}\eta^{\mu\nu}\,. \qquad (70)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that these relations reduce to the standard relations in the equal-time limit. In addition, we may define the familiar classical observables

$$\begin{aligned}
L_n(\tau) &:= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\alpha_{n-l}^\mu(\tau)\alpha_l^\mu(\tau) \\
\tilde{L}_n(\tau) &:= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty}\tilde{\alpha}_{n-l}^\mu(\tau)\tilde{\alpha}_l^\mu(\tau) \qquad (71)
\end{aligned}$$

for which we find, after repeatedly applying (70) and using the Leibnizian rule for the causal Poisson bracket, a two-time generalization of the Virasoro algebra which explicitly reads

$$(L_n(\tau),L_m(\tau')) = i(n-m)e^{-2in(\tau-\tau')}L_{n+m}(\tau')\,. \qquad (72)$$

As expected, this algebra also reduces to the standard Virasoro algebra in the equal-time limit, that is, $\lim_{\tau\to\tau'}(L_n(\tau),L_m(\tau')) = \{L_n(\tau),L_m(\tau)\} = i(n-m)L_{n+m}(\tau)$. The generalized Virasoro algebra resembles in some sense the atavistic algebras studied in detail in references [48, 49] but, in our case, algebra (72) depends explicitly on two different values of the time parameter τ, and not only on the discrete parameters n and m, in opposition.

Finally, we note that if we write, for example, the causal Green's function for the open string (61) in terms of imaginary exponentials, and by means of the expansion $\ln(1+x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}((-1)^{n+1}/n)x^n$ for $|x|<1$, we may write

$$\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{G}_{\rm open}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau') \\
= \;& \tau-\tau'-\frac{1}{4i}\ln\left(1-e^{2i((\tau-\tau')+(\sigma-\sigma'))}\right)-\frac{1}{4i}\ln\left(1-e^{2i((\tau-\tau')-(\sigma-\sigma'))}\right) \\
&+\frac{1}{4i}\ln\left(1-e^{2i(-(\tau-\tau')+(\sigma-\sigma'))}\right)+\frac{1}{4i}\ln\left(1-e^{-2i((\tau-\tau')+(\sigma-\sigma'))}\right), \qquad (73)
\end{aligned}$$

setting the logarithmic behaviour of $\tilde{G}_{\rm open}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')$ which resembles the standard Feynman propagator [50], $G_{\rm F}(\sigma,\sigma';\tau,\tau')$, as stated at the end of Section 3.

4.3 Nonlinear model

As we mentioned before, we may introduce the causal bracket (37) in an appropriate manner for the analysis of nonlinear examples. In this section we consider the one-dimensional Lagrangian defined by

$$\mathcal{L} := \frac{1}{2}\dot{x}^2 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{3}x^3 \,. \tag{74}$$

In Physics, this Lagrangian has been studied as describing the motion of a particle in a quasi-isochronous storage ring in [51]. The equation of motion for this model reads

$$\dot{x}^2 = \frac{2}{3}x^3 - x^2 + 2E \,, \tag{75}$$

where the constant term (associated to the energy of the particle) comes from a first integral of motion. Even though this equation is inherently nonlinear, we may introduce a well-behaved Green's function which is solution to the equation

$$\frac{d^2G(t)}{dt^2} - G^2(t) + G(t) = \delta(t) \,. \tag{76}$$

and explicitly given by

$$G(t) = \theta(t)\wp\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{6}}, 6, -12E\right) \,, \tag{77}$$

where $\wp$ stands for the $\wp$-Weierstrass elliptic function with elliptic invariants $g_2 = 6$ and $g_3 = -12E$, and the function θ corresponds to the Heaviside step function. In order to define our causal bracket we may consider the causal Green's function $\bar{G}(t,t')$ associated to (77) which results

$$\bar{G}(t,t') := \theta(t-t')\wp\left(\frac{t-t'}{\sqrt{6}}, 6, -12E\right) - \theta(t'-t)\wp\left(\frac{t-t'}{\sqrt{6}}, 6, -12E\right) \,. \tag{78}$$

Finally, the causal bracket for this model is simply given by

$$(x(t), x(t')) = \bar{G}(t,t') \,. \tag{79}$$

5 Concluding remarks

In quantum field theory, the product of field operators at different spacetime points is well-defined. This product, from the perspective of deformation quantization, may be extended to a star-product from which one defines the commutator of two quantum field operators at different spacetime points. This may be

done in a complete covariant way. Thus, taking the deformation quantization as our guiding programme, we have focused on the construction of a classical Poisson structure inherited from this quantum commutator. To this end, we have considered the Green's function method in order to map fields to points belonging to a single hypersurface. Therefore, we have found a well-defined star-product for the fields at two different spacetime points. This star-product induces a classical causal bracket which follows the axioms of a Poisson structure, and may be extended trivially to obtain a bracket in the appropriate phase space. In the case of a linear system the Green's functions involved in the bracket may be constructed explicitly, while in the nonlinear case the Green's function, even if we are able to construct it analytically, must be understood as associated to approximate solutions for a given system, thus depending on the chosen boundary conditions and on a short spacetime parameters expansion. Also, due to the properties of the Green's function, in both cases the classical bracket introduced reduces to the standard Poisson bracket on the assumption that our two spacetime points lie on the same spatial hypersurface, that is, in the equal-time limit.

For the case of theories involving interacting fields, we have encountered a generalization of Wick's theorem for the star-product of fields at different spacetime points. Besides, the connection of our formalism with standard Feynman propagator was encountered by an appropriate isomorphism between star-algebras.

We have tested our formalism for typical models showing interesting physically motivated features. On the one side, we analyzed a couple of well-known field theoretical models: The real scalar field for which we have deduced a generalization of the Poisson bracket relations of the classical coefficients associated to the quantum creation and annihilation operators at two different spatial hypersurfaces. This generalization may be straightforwardly extended to the quantum counterpart. We also have studied the bosonic string. In this case we have encountered a generalization of the known Virasoro algebra at two different spacetime points. For both models, the introduced causal generalizations reduce to the standard results found in the literature at the equal-time limit. On the other side, we explored a nonlinear model for a particle in a quasi-isochronous storage ring. For this model, we were able to find a Green's function which allowed us to introduce the causal bracket.

Despite our results, further work has to be done in the direction of nonlinear field theories as there are several methods for finding Green's functions for this sort of theories, and it is not clear to us at this moment which method will be more plausible to incorporate within our proposed bracket. In particular, we will also need to understand the relevant intervals of convergence for which the integrals involving the Green's function result appropriate to guarantee a well-behaved causal bracket. Another interesting direction will be to implement the causal bracket for the case of singular Lagrangians. Constrained systems in the context of quantization deformation were analyzed in [52, 53]. This will be done elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

J.B-M. was supported by a CONACYT Fellowship (Retención 206727). J.B-M. also acknowledges support from PROMEP-UASLP under the NPTC program. AM acknowledges financial support from PROMEP UASLP-PTC-402 and from CONACYT-Mexico under project CB-2014-243433.

A Mathematical properties of the classical causal bracket

Let $F_a := F_a[\Phi(x_k)]$ denote the a-th functional on covariant phase space $\mathcal{S}$ attached to the spacetime point $x_k \in \mathcal{M}$. We will adopt the short notation for the classical causal Poisson bracket defined through deformation quantization in (37)

$$\begin{aligned} F_{1,M}\tilde{G}^{MN}F_{2,N} &:= (F_1[\Phi(x_1)], F_2[\Phi(x_2)]) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{M}} dxdx' \frac{\delta F_1[\Phi(x_1)]}{\delta\Phi^M(x)}\tilde{G}^{MN}(x,x')\frac{\delta F_2[\Phi(x_2)]}{\delta\Phi^N(x')}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

where we have obviated the explicit dependence on the causally connected spacetime points in the manifold $\mathcal{M}$ on the left hand side of this relation. Here, we want to show that this bracket satisfies the axioms of a Poisson algebra as previously stated. First, we note that the bracket (80) is skew-symmetric, that is, $(F_1, F_2) = -(F_2, F_1)$, due to the skew-symmetric nature of the causal Green's function, namely, $\tilde{G}^{MN} = -\tilde{G}^{NM}$. Next, the bilinearity is obtained from the linearity of the functional derivatives involved in (80)

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1, F_2 + \alpha F_3) &= F_{1,M}\tilde{G}^{MN}(F_2 + \alpha F_3)_{,N} \\ &= F_{1,M}\tilde{G}^{MN}F_{2,N} + \alpha F_{1,M}\tilde{G}^{MN}F_{3,N} \\ &= (F_1, F_2) + \alpha\,(F_1, F_3)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

for α constant. The Leibniz rule is also directly obtained form the Leibniz rule property of the functional derivative

$$\begin{aligned} (F_1, F_2F_3) &= F_{1,M}\tilde{G}^{MN}(F_2F_3)_{,N} \\ &= F_{1,M}\tilde{G}^{MN}(F_{2,N}F_3 + F_2F_{3,N}) \\ &= (F_{1,M}\tilde{G}^{MN}F_{2,N})F_3 + F_2(F_{1,M}\tilde{G}^{MN}F_{3,N}) \\ &= (F_1, F_2)\,F_3 + F_2\,(F_1, F_3)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

Finally, the Jacobi identity which in the adopted short notation reads

$$\begin{aligned} P(F_1, F_2, F_3) &:= (F_1, (F_2, F_3)) + (F_2, (F_3, F_1)) + (F_3, (F_1, F_2)) \\ &= F_{1,LP}F_{2,M}F_{3,N}\left(\tilde{G}^{LM}\tilde{G}^{NM} + \tilde{G}^{MP}\tilde{G}^{NL}\right) \\ &\quad + F_{1,L}F_{2,MP}F_{3,N}\left(\tilde{G}^{MN}\tilde{G}^{LP} + \tilde{G}^{NP}\tilde{G}^{LM}\right) \\ &\quad + F_{1,L}F_{2,M}F_{3,NP}\left(G^{NL}\tilde{G}^{MP} + \tilde{G}^{LP}\tilde{G}^{MN}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

may be demonstrated by using the skew-symmetry of the causal Green's function $\tilde{G}^{MN}$, together with the commutativity of the functional derivatives, $F_{,MN} = F_{,NM}$, for all functional F. Thus, it is straightforward to show that all expressions in the last equality are vanishing. For example, the first line in the last equality stands for

$$\begin{aligned} & F_{1,LP}F_{2,M}F_{3,N}\left(\tilde{G}^{LM}\tilde{G}^{NM}+\tilde{G}^{MP}\tilde{G}^{NL}\right) \\ = & -F_{1,PL}F_{2,M}F_{3,N}\left(\tilde{G}^{ML}\tilde{G}^{NM}+\tilde{G}^{PM}\tilde{G}^{NL}\right)=0\,. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, Jacobi identity also holds. As the four properties have been shown, we conclude that our causal bracket is a genuine Poisson bracket.

References

[1] F. Bayen, M. Flato, M. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz and D. Sternheimer, *Deformation theory and quantization I,II*, Ann. Phys. (NY) **111** (1978) 61–110; **111** (1978) 111-151.

[2] M. Flato, *Deformation view of physical theories*, Czech. J. Phys. **B32** (1982) 472–475.

[3] G. Dito and D. Sternheimer, *Deformation quantization: genesis, developments and metamorphoses*, IRMA Lect. Math. Phys. **I** (2002) 9–54, arXiv: math/0201168 [math.QA].

[4] D. Sternheimer, *Deformation Quantization: Twenty Years After*, AIP Conf. Proc. **453** (1998) 107–145, arXiv: math/9809056 [math.QA]

[5] C. K. Zachos, D. B. Fairlie and T. L. Curtright, *Quantum Mechanics on Phase Space* (World Scientific, 2005).

[6] C. K. Zachos, *Deformation Quantization: Quantum mechanics lives and works in phase-space*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **17** (2002) 297-316, arXiv: hep-th/0110114.

[7] C. Zachos and T. Curtright, *Phase-space Quantization of Field Theory*, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **135** (1999) 244–258, arXiv: hep-th/9903254.

[8] E. Poisson, A. Pound and I. Vega, *The Motion of Point Particles in Curved Spacetime*, Living Rev. Relativity, **14** (2011), 7.

[9] J. Hadamard, *Lectures on Cauchy's Problem in Linear Partial Differential* (Dover publications, 2003).

[10] C. Baer, N. Ginoux and F. Pfaeffle, *Wave Equations on Lorentzian Manifolds and Quantization*, ESI lectures in mathematics and physics, Vol. 2 (European Mathematical Society, 2007).

[11] D. W. Sciama, P. C. Waylen and R. C. Gilman, *Generally covariant Integral formulation of Einstein's Field equations*, Phys, Rev. **187** (1969) 1762–1766.

[12] S. Waldmann, *Geometric Wave Equations* (Lecture Notes, University of Freiburg, 2009) arXiv: 1208.4706 [math.DG].

[13] M. Frasca, *Green Function Method for Nonlinear Systems*, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A22** (2007) 1293–1300, arXiv: hep-th/0702056v3.

[14] M. Frasca, *Green functions and nonlinear systems: Short time expansion*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A23** (2008) 299–308, arXiv: 0704.1568v3 [hep-th].

[15] J. F. Epperson, *On the Use of Green's Functions for Approximating Nonlinear Parabolic PDE's*, Appl. Math. Lett. **2** (1989) 293–296.

[16] W. Weike, *Nonlinear evolution systems and Green's function*, Acta Math. Sci. **30B** (2010) 2051–2063.

[17] A. E. Taigbenu and O. O. Onyejekwe. *Green's function-based integral approaches to nonlinear transient boundary-value problems (II)*, Appl. Math. Modell. **23** (1999) 241–253.

[18] R. E. Peierls, *The Commutation Laws of Relativistic Field Theory*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 214 (1952) 143–157.

[19] B. DeWitt, *Dynamical Theory of Groups and Fields*, in *Relativity, Groups and Topology*, eds. B. DeWitt. and C. DeWitt, Les Houches Lectures, pp. 585–820, (Gordon and Breach Science, New York, 1964).

[20] B. DeWitt, *A global approach to Quantum Field Theory, Volume 1 & 2* (Oxford Science Publications, 2003).

[21] I. Khavkine, *Covariant phase space, constraints, gauge and the Peierls formula*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **29** (2014) 143009, arXiv: 1402.1282 [math-ph].

[22] C. Crnković and E. Witten, *Covariant Description of Canonical Formalism in Geometrical Theories*, in *Three Hundred Years Gravitation*, eds. W. Israel and S. Hawking (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1987).

[23] J. Kijowski, *A Finite-Dimensional Canonical Formalism in the Classical Fild Theory*, Commun. Math. Phys. **30** (1973) 99–128.

[24] I. Kanatchikov, *On Field Theroetic Generalizations of a Poisson Algebra*, Rep. Math. Phys. **40** (1997) 225-234, arXiv: hep-th/9710069.

[25] F. Helein, *Multisymplectic formalism and the covariant phase*, in *Variational problems in Differential Geometry, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series No. 394*, eds. R. Bielawski, K. Houston and M. Speight, (Cambridge University Press, 2011), arXiv: 1106.2086 [math-ph].

[26] C. Ford, G. Jorjadze and G. Weigt, *Causal Poisson brackets of the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ WZNW model and its coset theories*, Phys. Lett. **B514** (2001) 413–416, arXiv: hep-th/0303132v2.

[27] C. Ford and G. Jorjadze, *A causal algebra for Liouville exponentials*, Class. Quantum Grav. **23** (2006) 6007–6014, arXiv: hep-th/0512018.

[28] G. Jorjadze, G. Weigt, *Poisson structure and Moyal quantisation of the Liouville theory*, Nucl. Phys. B**619** (2001) 232–256, arXiv: hep-th/0105306v1.

[29] W. Westra, *Localization of particles in quantum field theory*, arXiv: 1012.3472 [hep-th].

[30] J. F. Koksma and W. Westra, *A Causal Alternative to Feynman's Propagator*, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Utrecht University, Preprint number ITP-UU-10/43, SPIN-10/36 (2010), arXiv: 1012.3473 [hep-th]

[31] M. Duetsch and K. Fredenhagen, *Perturbative Algebraic Field Theory, and Deformation Quantization*, Proceedings on the Conference on Mathematical Physics in Mathematics and Physics, Siena (2000) 151–160, arXiv: hep-th/0101079.

[32] R. Brunetti, M. Dütsch and K. Fredenhagen *Perturbative algebraic quantum field theory and the renormalization groups* Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **13** (2009) 1541–1599, arXiv: 0901.2038v2 [math-ph].

[33] A. C. Hirshfeld, *Star products and perturbative quantum field theory*, Annals Phys. **298** (2002) 382–393, arXiv: hep-th/0208194.

[34] A. C. Hirshfeld and P. Henselder, *Deformation quantization in the teaching of quantum mechanics*, Am. J. Phys. **70** (2002) 537–547, arXiv: quant-ph/0208163.

[35] M. Kontsevich, *Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds*, Lett. Math. Phys. **66** (2003) 157–216, arXiv: q-alg/9709040.

[36] M. Kontsevich, *Formality conjecture* in: D. Sternheimer, J. Rawnsley and S. Gutt (eds.) *Deformation theory and symplectic geometry*, Math. Phys. Stud. **20** (1996) 139–156.

[37] B. V. Fedosov, *A Simple Geometrical Construction of Deformation Quantization*, J. Diff. Geom. **40** (1994) 213–238.

[38] A. Gracia-Saz, *On the Kontsevich formula for Deformation Quantization*, http://math.berkeley.edu/∼alanw/242papers02/graciasaz.pdf

[39] G. Dito, *Star-product approach to quantum field theory: the free scalar field*, Lett. Math- Phys. **20** (1990) 125–134.

[40] H. Garcia-Compean, J. F. Plebanski, M. Przanowski and F. J. Turrubiates, *Deformation Quantization of Classical Fields*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **16** (2001) 2533-2558, arXiv: hep-th/9909206.

[41] H. Nachbagauer, *Wigner Functionals and their Dynamics in Quantum-Field-Theory*, Institute for Theoretical Physics, Vienna University of Technology, Preprint number TUW-96-29 (1996), arXiv: hep-th/9703105.

[42] T. Curtright, C. Zachos, *Wigner Trajectory Characteristics in Phase Space and Filed Theory*, J. Phys. A **32** (1999) 771–779, arXiv: hep-th/9810164.

[43] S. Detweiler and B. Whiting, *Self-force via a Green's function decomposition*, Phys. Rev. **D67** (2003) 024025, arXiv:gr-qc/0202086v3.

[44] J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Vol. 1 (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2005).

[45] K. Becker, M. Becker and J. H. Schwarz, *String Theory and M-Theory: A Modern Introduction* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007).

[46] H. García-Compeán, J. F. Plebanski, M. Przanowski and F. J. Turrubiates, *Deformation quantization of bosonic strings*, J. Phys.A: Math. Gen. **33** (2000) 7935–7953, arXiv: hep-th/0002212.

[47] R. Das, C. R. Ordoñez and M. A. Rubin, *Dual Peierls brackets for Strings*, Phys. Lett. **B** (1987) 139–148.

[48] D. B. Fairlie, P. Fletcher and C. K. Zachos, *Trigonometric structure constants for new infinite-dimensional algebras*, Phys. Lett. **B218** (1989) 203–206.

[49] D. B. Fairlie and C. K. Zachos, *An Atavistic Lie Algebra*, Phys. Lett. **B637** (2006) 123–127.

[50] G. Arutyunov, *Lectures on String Theory*, (Utrecht University, 2009).

[51] A. Riabko, M. Bai, B. Brabson, C. M. Chu, X. Kang, D. Jeon, S. Y. Lee and X. Zhao, *Particle dynamics in quasi-isochronous storage rings*, Phys. Lett. **E54**, (1996) 815–819.

[52] F. Antonsen, *Deformation Quantisation of Constrained Systems*, arXiv: gr-qc/9710021.

[53] F. Antonsen, *Wigner Functions in Curved Spacetimes and Deformation Quantisation of Constrained Systems*, in *Proc. Wien 1997, 5th Wigner symposium*, eds. P. Kasperkovitz, D. Grau, pp. 192–194, (World Scientific, 1998), arXiv: gr-qc/9710105.